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Foreword: Today Has a History

“A dishonest man said, ‘That is what I chose to believe at that time. You must, at least, show respect for my sincerity!’“

The essential evil of the present British Royal regime’s imperial system of government, can be traced in modern history, to deep roots in a time as early as that of the process of degeneracy of King Henry VIII (b.1491-d.1547), a decadence which was orchestrated by that King’s then newly arrived (A.D. 1529) sex-counselor, the Venetian intelligence authority Francesco Zorzi (aka Giorgi) (b.1466-d.1540). In some respects, it is necessary to trace matters in such attention to details of the implicit cultural (Leibnizian) dynamics overlapping personal life-times, if one is to locate the background of necessary reference for understanding England’s key role in the process of modern European history from a time about A.D.1529, through the February 1763 Peace of Paris, and, the subsequent, Eighteenth-century British imperialist tradition.

That modern, A.D. 1529-1763, process, leading into the formal birth of modern British imperialism, has been the historical backdrop which must be adopted as the reference needed to situate the origins of that system of Paolo Sarpi (b.1552-d.1623) which became known by such names as “behaviorism.” This is a system which was based upon the prevalent, categorical rejection of any principled standard of truthfulness, as this rejection was argued most plainly by Adam Smith in his 1759 Theory of
President Abraham Lincoln's republican victory over the British empire's backers of slavery set a precedent for today.

**the Moral Sentiments**, as what is to be recognized, most easily, as the specifically British monetarist cultural tradition, to the present day. The result has been, that the reigning body of so-called Liberal "popular opinion" in much of the world today, is, more often than not, a system of the sophistry imposed as a blending of induced popular stupidities and accompanying official lies.

1. "... Hunger, thirst, the passion which unites the two sexes, the love of pleasure, and the dread of pain, prompt us to apply these means for their own sakes, and without any consideration of their tendency to those beneficent ends with the great Director of nature intended to produce by them.”

2. For added crucial references bearing on the characteristics of the interval leading from the onset of the Fifteenth-century, into the 1763 Peace of Paris which coincided with the initial establishment of the British Empire, consider: Nicholas of Cusa (b.1401-d.1464), Jeanne d'Arc (b.1412-d.1431), France's Louis XI (b.1423-d.1483), the discovery of a pre-estimated landfall (as aided by the measurement of the size of the Earth by Eratosthenes) in the Americas by a Christopher Columbus in 1492, and the 1492-1648 interval of recurring religious warfare, which concluded with the 1648 Peace of Westphalia, and the later establishment of the empire of the British East India Company, up to the time of that same 1763 Peace of Westphalia which set into motion the American struggle for independence from that British empire from 1763 onward.

Thus, we have Adam Smith's predecessor John Locke's (b.1632-d.1704) system of thought, a system which is still praised as "democracy" by fools or swindlers, alike, including the British promotion of the alleged right to capture and hold African captives in perpetual slavery of successive generations inside the United States. Just so, had President Barack Obama and his behaviorist retinue inserted into the Senate's so-called "health-care" bill the decree, of the perpetual right of those evil insurance companies associated with AIG, to secure the future privilege of murdering more Americans henceforth, than those who had been killed in 1939-1945 Europe, killed by those guilty parties who were hauled before the post-1945 Nuremberg Trials for crimes against humanity for crimes of a type identical with those for which Nazi doctors were condemned and executed at Nuremberg.

Now come Obama's proposed, so-called health-
care reforms, which, if established, would murder a vastly greater percentile of the U.S. population, than Hitler had killed by similar health-care polices, back then.

Today, we need the likeness of President Abraham Lincoln’s victory over the British empire’s backers of the practice of slavery, as we did then, as, in certain respects, still today. This means, over the time of today’s system of predatory followers of the “health care” of Hitler’s and Tony Blair’s practices, including a Blair legacy for which Obama had been chosen to attempt to push through in the guise of what private health-care insurers have been chosen to install today.

The prominent such fact of the present moment is, that the present British monarchy’s evil intention for the Hitler-like perversion of the very name of “health care,” is a system of mass murder, one copied directly from the precedent of Adolf Hitler’s war-time system of genocide, while, in turn, President Obama has adopted the same kind of program of genocide which he has copied, more directly, from that of former British Prime Minister Tony Blair (N.I.C.E., “National Institutes for Health and Clinical Excellence”), who had, in turn, copied the policy of genocide in the name of medical care, which had been made infamous by the Adolf Hitler wartime regime.

To complete that picture, Hitler’s rise to power had been, originally, a result of a British, post-Versailles-treaty creation of Adolf Hitler’s role, as traced in German public affairs since 1923. From Winston Churchill’s vantage-point in 1940, the British backing for the Hitler project had become, for Churchill and others, a no longer tolerable plot of the Bank of England’s Montagu Norman and of Hitler-backing representatives of New York’s Brown Brothers Harriman, typified by such as Prescott Bush, the grandfather of President George W. Bush, Jr.

In fact, all of those three figures known, respectively, as Adolf Hitler, a lying former British Prime Minister Tony Blair, President Barack Obama, and, also, Obama’s installed, behaviorist lackeys, have copied Thomas Malthus on population-control, just as Tony Blair’s promotion of N.I.C.E. had taken a rib out of the pro-genocide policies of Bertrand Russell, and, also, of Britain’s present British Royal Consort, the pro-genocide Prince Philip of the World Wildlife Fund (WWF).

Contrary to such evil people as those exemplary British and kindred culprits of sundry nations, such as British-controlled puppet-President Barack Obama of our United States today, our Federal government had been established on the basis of a contrary principle, one typified by the Preamble of our Federal Constitution, that of inducing the majority of our population to act, in concert, in the pursuit of truth, if it could be presumed that they possessed the will and skill to discover it. If you refuse to denounce such culprits as those wicked types to which I have just referred, and to recognize them, openly and frankly, as having been evil, you, too, are guilty of claiming the privilege of permitting an evil to proceed which should be fought, a kind of negligence on our own part which is now the root of evil consequences which you, too, will come to suffer.

It is the truth, even if the truth is in the mouth of only a minority, which must reign, rather than being merely a part of that body of corrupt opinion which must reign among Obama’s supporters. This truth must reign, rather than a narcissistic, Emperor-Nero-echoing Obama government plotting and reigning from behind closed doors. What must reign is a zeal for discovery of the true nature and needs of a sovereign body from among mankind; what must reign, is the scientific truth about mankind’s nature, needs, and rights. Contrary to the doctrine of the avowed hater of our liberties, the evil Adam Smith, the matter is not a settled decision, until that truth presented by even a tiny minority of opinion has prevailed.

The essence of evil, is insisting that popular opinion must sit in judgment on truth, for, as history has always demonstrated, it is, as in physical science, rather than in mere mathematics, that truth, which is often a view of a tiny minority, must come to reign over what is a current majority of merely opinion. All great failures of nations have been products of a popular opinion which resisted truthfulness, such as that of President Obama’s dupes. If nothing else will change popular opinion, the fabled Erinyes are on the way.

Human Immortality

Contrary to the systemic presumption underlying the policy of Adam Smith and today’s British monarchy, the essential truth is, that, contrary to the political doc-
Contrary to the Obama Administration, human beings are not animals, to be slaughtered when they grow old or sick. Shown is “Rembrandt’s Mother Reading,” by Rembrandt van Rijn, 1629.

The trine of the Obama administration, mankind is not an animal to be slaughtered, or starved to death when the person is sick or old; men and women represent a higher order of creature than all of the beasts. This is a quality of that spirit of a living human individual which, only briefly, inhabits a specifically human biological form. The human mind which inhabits a mortal body, is a truly immortal being, one which, although it, ironically, occupies a living body temporarily, has a power of creativity, a power of creating ideas of that special quality which we associate with such expressions as “the human soul.”

These are expressions such as commitment to the immortal efficiency of discoveries of universal physical principles, principles of action, embodied in the living expression of Classical artistry and physical science, which live on, acting efficiently, when the body of the author of that discovery had been long deceased. Every moment of a creative living soul, is a precious expression of what is immortal in what it represents or, at least, represents potentially, as in no other kind of living creature yet known to mankind. Never relent, until the matter is made right. There is no tolerable substitute for a truthful decision in matters of principle, a lesson of a principle which many courts have yet to show that they have learned.

Introduction:
President Obama Goes Down

With the present month of January now hustling toward its closing days, the remaining time for President Barack Obama’s efforts to ruin our United States of America, is now rapidly approaching its probable end. That likely and early end of his Presidency is now coming on fast, but not because of anything that anyone else is going to do to hurt President Obama’s chances as much as Barack Obama himself continues to do. As I said that famously, and plainly, in my April 11, 2009 webcast: he is doomed for no other reason as much as because of what he, like his attributably narcissistic role-model from Roman history, the Emperor Nero, represents as a pathetic type of personality. Every pattern of Obama’s behavior, since the time of that webcast of mine, has followed a pattern consistent with the Nero-like characteristics of Obama’s own naked narcissism.

This is much more than my own personal judgment of that pattern from history. It is the already registered, resonant judgment of the fabled Erinyes from Friedrich Schiller’s famous ballad, The Cranes of Ibykus. The special quality of power of certain exceptionally gifted Classical poets, such as the poet and grand historian Schiller, or, Percy Bysshe Shelley later, to move entire cultures, has usually lain with a relatively very limited number of known poets and historians from, in particular, such sources as European Classical traditions. The argument in support of that conclusion is illustrated in the celebrated concluding paragraph of Shelley’s A Defence of Poetry.

That argument can be understood from the stand-
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4. Friedrich Schiller, Die Kraniche des Ibykus.
point of physical science, if one reflects competently on Albert Einstein's famous praise of the unique quality of scientific genius expressed, with particular emphasis on Johannes Kepler's The Harmony of the Worlds, which Einstein identified as defining a finite, yet unbounded universe. The principle of the Ibykus case, which Schiller presented in the case which he had taken from the memory of ancient Corinth, is one which is located in the context of physical science under the ancient rubric of dynamicis, or Gottfried Leibniz's resurrection of that concept of dynamics in a modern European form as dynamics. The principle is the same as that which Einstein expressed by the use of "finite, but not bounded," to define the quality of universe implicit in Kepler's uniquely original discovery of the principle of universal gravitation.

There are, in short, certain apparently, temporarily bounding conditions associated with any specific state of the universe, as Bernhard Riemann defined this conception and its limited approximate application for such of Riemann's own most notable followers as Albert Einstein, and for Academician V.I. Vernadsky's proofs of the distinction among three phases of the universe, the Lithosphere, Biosphere, and Noösphere. However, in a universe consistent with Riemann's method, the universe itself, while finite in any momentary state of its progress, is not bounded, but is, rather, as Albert Einstein insisted, essentially anti-entropic, an essential quality often emerging in the form of successively higher qualitative, as distinct from merely quantitative states of being.

From a modern standpoint, therefore, the creative interactions, as if directed within social processes from above, are also finite, but not externally bounded in respect to the coming of higher qualitative states in a domain of creative artistic composition. So it is in a body of Classical musical composition rooted in the founding contributions of Johann Sebastian Bach, or in creative artistic and scientific thinking generally. The specific quality of such a merely apparently bounding state of composition of processes of events, corresponds to the ancient and modern notions of dynamicis and dynamics.

My own exemplary, and essentially unique successes as an economic forecaster, since my Summer 1956 forecast of a February-March 1957 eruption of a deep U.S. recession, are excellent examples of this principle and its efficiency. These forecasts, which have succeeded repeatedly, where virtually all other known forecasters of relevance have failed on each comparable occasion, had produced a result which is the source of authority for my contempt for what have been consistently the inherent incompetence and failures of financial-statistical methods of forecasting. Mine is a method which I developed and adopted early in 1953, on the basis of a breakthrough in my attempted insights to the crucial distinction of the accomplishment typified by Riemann's 1854 habilitation dissertation, which is the same basis employed by Riemann followers such as Albert Einstein and Academician V.I. Vernadsky.

Contrary to the essential incompetence of the method of the Aristotleans and the modern empiricists, Einstein was right about Kepler's discovery of universal gravitation. The universe, when viewed, looking backwards, as from its future, presents us with a view which expresses an essential competence in such matters, the truth that that universe is governed by existing, higher states of the system as a whole, successive states which may appear to be in the expressed form of relatively universal phase-spaces.

The state of physical-scientific practice, in any of a series of phases, is "unified" in a way which is associated with the ancient Classical notion of dynamicis, and with the method which Leibniz employed in exposing the intrinsic incompetence of Descartes' provably fraudulent method. That was an incompetence which was exposed by Leibniz' treating physical processes as evidence used by him for a pedagogical approach to presenting the modern concept of dynamics, by demonstrating the fraud inherent in Descartes' way of thinking about man and nature.

The same principle of dynamics, which may appear to be limited to the domain of physical scientific discoveries, actually performs a crucial role in the domain of Classical artistic composition, as the concluding paragraph of Shelley's A Defence of Poetry illustrates the point. This demonstration occurs only in the domain of truly Classical modalities, such as the system of counterpoint established by Johann Sebastian Bach and by such among his successors as Haydn, Wolfgang Mozart, Ludwig van Beethoven, Franz Schubert, Robert Schumann, and Johannes Brahms.

Neither of the contrary, Romantic and so-called Modernist fashions, show any grasp of this principle of dynamics at all. In Europe, for example, the influence of existentialists (dionysians) such as Nietzsche, the "Frankfurt School," the European Congress for Cul-
tural Freedom, and the contemporary U.S. and European “modernists” generally, (and also the New York Times style in prose) have virtually ruined any show of creative intellectual capabilities from among the ranks of their victims. This latter pattern has been a crucial factor in bringing the fatal quality of popular decadence which reigns among the increasing ration of the morally brain-dead from among today’s trans-Atlantic descendants of former European cultures.

When we consider the factors to which I have just pointed, we should recognize the fact, if we are attuned to the experience of Classical culture, that, as the referenced example of Shelley’s A Defence of Poetry illustrates, the habit of Classical poetic expression, has the effect of a virtual, temporarily transitional bounding of the states in which the interactions among individual human minds assume a merely temporarily apparent form of being approximately bounded.

We see this, which we may call an expression of “The Ibykus Principle” of Friedrich Schiller, as expressed in the mass-strike process which has continued from its original large-scale U.S. expression in the August 2009 “town hall meetings,” to its riper expression in the Massachusetts Senate election of January 19th, as it was also an echo of what Rosa Luxemburg

identified, rather uniquely in her time, as “a mass-strike process.”

Today, as shown since August 2009, the mass of the people of the United States no longer wish to have Barack Obama as their President. It is just that simple; they have spoken. Admittedly, many members of the U.S. Congress and party leaders generally, have “marched, more and more, to a different drummer than that of the electorate.” So, what the procession of the current majority among elected and other officials represents for a mere passing moment, lies in a direction leading to the end of the political existences of many a once prominent political career. The voice of the people has spoken, and that majority of Federal officials who continue to violate the people’s trust, have become tomorrow’s collection of objects of public contempt, both individual persons and parties alike.

It is true, that public opinion is often wrong, usually because the relevant “spirit of the age” is wrong. But, when the people have it right, as the majority of the citizens have shown repeatedly since July 2009, the shallow-minded opportunists, such as the present supporters of President Barack Obama’s efforts, are going the way of the foolish King Louis XVI who was lured to his own and his wife’s death, by her own and her brother’s morally depraved reaction to the London-built trap known as “The Affair of the Queen’s Necklace.”

The Consequence

Thus, with the prospect of Obama’s departure from the Presidency now approaching, the case now before us, is that the world of mankind must now choose an entry into a Golden Age of freedom for humanity, but
FIGURE 1
Asia Goes for a Nuclear Future; the West Heads for a Dark Age

will succeed in doing so, only if enough among us share both the wisdom and courage needed to bring about the perpetuity of that result.

Therefore, look again at the world as a whole, today; look at the difference between the world viewed from the contrasting standpoints of the Atlantic maritime region (the mortal remains of what has already become, for the time being, a presently self-doomed past), and, then, the prospect for the future of the Pacific-Indian Oceans’ maritime region.

So, color the regions of our Earth’s surface as follows:

1. Where the leading public intention is expressed by progress in nuclear fission and thermonuclear fusion, that as the driving principle of forward movements, color that region a bright and hopeful red,
2. as that progress is to be contrasted to those areas of either windmills and solar collectors, or nothing much at all, color that region a deep, rotting green,
3. or sickly brownish spread of malthusian mass death. Western and Central Europe, as measured by the standard of energy-flux-density per capita and per square kilometer are, for the present moment, dying, that in an orgy of “love of greenness” to which the majorities of those governments have chosen, like doomed Anne Boleyn, to submit.

So, for the moment, the part of the world associated with windmills and solar collectors, is a rotting-out part of our world, a decadent, potentially doomed part of Europe and the Americas, rotting in their own stubborn adherence to perversely beloved backwardnesses and to their accompanying silly, “green” inanities.

In contrast to the decadence shown on both shores of the Atlantic, as this is to be seen in the rejection of the wicked scheme presented as the Copenhagen initiative,
the India-Pacific Oceans' regions are glowing bright-red with the role of nuclear-fission as a driver of progress, while our North America turns to the brutish color of a permanent brown or, worse, a nauseously yellow-green. Meanwhile, western Europe now rots away, as if a self-doomed, dying part of the planet, rotting under the reign of follies such as foolish windmills and solar collectors, a decadent culture waiting for the blessings of a new stone age to descend, like pieces broken from doomed windmills, upon their heads.

Yes, about eighty percent of the population of Asia is terribly poor, but, given a commitment to nuclear power, the potential for rapid rises in the conditions of life and labor, through greater power at its disposal, it is showing its promise of a possible, better future, at a time when the decadence of the once-richer, trans-Atlantic, European culture, is in a state of self-inflicted ruin and decline.

None of that awful decadence rampant in Europe and the Americas today, was inevitable. The outcome for the future is a product of willful choice, a choice which will mean either the will to prosper, or the will to rot. That is the choice which can not be postponed longer, and, therefore, will be made now, in this presently ominous moment of an accelerating, post-1968 world moral and economic crisis now become more deadly to humanity as a whole, than any other in modern history.

There are options available to mankind, but there is little time left to come to make the crucial, right, corrective choices.

The search for that truth on which survival of present generations of the world now depends, is best assisted, at present, by consideration of the principled scientific contributions presented by the work of Academician V.I. Vernadsky, who demonstrated the scientific truth of the distinction of the human mind and soul from the qualities of the beasts and the rocks, contrary to such reductionists as former Soviet Academician A.I. Oparin and his British co-thinker J.B.S. Haldane, who, in the spirit of the evil Bertrand Russell and his followers, rejected the scientific truth of the the distinction of the human mind and soul from the qualities of the beasts and the rocks.

The Case of Vernadsky

The work of Academician V.I. Vernadsky’s development of the discovery of the principle of the Noö-sphere, has brought to the nations, and to their peoples, the rigorously scientific precedents through which to discover a practically more efficient principle of truthful self-government than had been known before. As a result of Vernadsky’s discovery, the purpose of government is more clearly, less imperfectly defined, as to be that option of a more perfect mission for living generations, a mission which is to discover and employ the truthful course of development of mankind and its nations, that in a way of which future generations need never be ashamed.

Therefore, we, accordingly, must quickly kill such poisonous weeds as those systems of mass murder which have been conducted on the pretext of health-care programs, programs of mass murder which are to be seen as typified by the case of Adolf Hitler, also with the imperial British Monarchy of today, and with President Barack Obama and the political supporters of Obama’s morally arch-criminal health-care and related policies, now.

Our constitutional U.S. republic’s constitutional commitment, is to be understood best, by tracing its origins, strictly, to the same mission prescribed by a Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa. This was the Cusa, one of the principal founders of modern science and of peace among nations, who pointed his followers to the need for going across the oceans to find the opportunity to build up remedies for that oligarchical corruption which was ruining the performance of that great mission which had been set into motion, earlier, by the great ecumenical Council of Florence.

Consider such contrasting cases of decadence as that of the evils of “populism,” as to be judged from reviewing the history of our own republic.

Consider the lamentable transfer of power from the assassinated patriot President William McKinley, to the treasonously inclined scoundrels President Theodore Roosevelt and President Woodrow Wilson. Consider, as we had experienced, similarly, the reign over, and ruin of our destiny of the latter two, as also of Calvin Coolidge and Herbert Hoover, too, all of whom in that likeness are to be contrasted, later, from the glorious leadership of President Franklin D. Roosevelt.

Or, similarly, view the trajectory of precipitous, moral descent from President Franklin Roosevelt to Harry S Truman, as echoing the earlier descent from President John Quincy Adams to those scoundrels Andrew Jackson and Martin van Buren, who wickedly annulled the Second National Bank of the United States in favor of the rotten Land Bank scheme of Jackson’s
patron and successor, Martin van Buren. Or, consider the similarly wicked repeal of a then-deceased President Abraham Lincoln’s greenback policy, a Constitutionally fraudulent repeal, that done at implicitly treasonous, British imperial behest, which unleashed the great domestic crises of that and the following decades.

The tendency for such malicious expressions of moral perversity as that typified by President Obama today, clearly did not end with that 1837 Panic crafted by the implicitly treasonous Presidents Andrew Jackson and Wall Street’s successor to the traitor Aaron Burr, Martin von Buren.

The lesson is, that even among American patriots, there is a certain persisting, recurring propensity for fickleness, which tends to prefer crude pleasures to the realization of the true meaning of human, as distinct from bestial entertainments of a sort often described, foolishly, as recreation.

Repeatedly, in the history of all cultures, including that of war-time Nazi Germany, or, similarly, our U.S.A. since the inauguration of President Barack Obama, there have been demonstrations of the fact that momentarily official popular opinion at election-time has often been contrary, in and of itself, to the realities which would have been in accord with a standard of truth. Truth is the weapon of choice against false, but, unfortunately, often reigning, popular opinion, as in our fight against tyranny generally, when we are able to resist that evil. Truth is better identified as being, also, the mission of mustering the majority of the adult citizenry to reject their own, often frequent, impassioned follies of belief in opportunistic varieties of intrinsically corrupt, populist opinions.

The challenge is, therefore, that of finding where the more exact truth lies.

“Global Warming” Was a Lie!

The sea-ice is now growing off the coasts of Asia, and in other places. The fact is, “Global Warming” was always the kind of lie to be expected from like scoundrels of East Anglia, the World Wildlife Fund’s brutish people-hater Prince Philip, or the famously lying Tony Blair.

Take, for example, the case of the present onslaught of what has proven to be the reality of what competent scientists have warned would be a period of some decades of “global freezing,” which has taken over the northern hemisphere in this winter’s wake of that which followed the failure of the dionysiacal form of criminal madness which had been, fortunately, rejected at the Copenhagen conference.

Consider, thus, the awful stupidity of that part of a body of popular opinion which the health-care policies of former British Prime Minister Tony Blair, then, and, those of President Barack Obama, now, have put forward in their faithful copy of the policies which generated what came to be called “the holocaust” launched by the wartime regime of Adolf Hitler. Capture the image of moral infamy expressed by some present Senate leader’s being hauled, at some future time, before a Nuremberg Trial of the future, charged with having pushed, as he has done, a virtual carbon copy of Hitler’s war-time health-care policy, and of some immoral act of mass murder prescribed by what has been decreed, jointly, by President Barack Obama and the present head of the U.S. Senate, as the immoral power in perpetuity, such as a “perpetual Medicare advisory board” modeled on the successive, identical “health care” policies of Adolf Hitler’s “T 4” organization and the N.I.C.E. practice launched by Obama’s predecessor Tony Blair, the same population-policy of the World Wildlife Fund of the Brutish Prince Philip.

Hitler was evil, but, after we have rightly pointed to the enormity of the crimes of the Adolf Hitler regime, the former British puppet Hitler was not only brutish, but, it is also a fact that Hitler was not as sophisticated as his earlier, London backers in crafting the practice of the art of crimes against humanity. The British monarchy has been equally as evil as Hitler was, but has been more cleverly evasive in avoiding appropriate penalties in such matters of practice. Hitler enjoyed the sport of killing; the British empire prefers to enlist its victims in participating in guilt for the suffering which they, like certain members of the U.S. Congress, are induced to participate in bringing upon themselves.

This fact is clearer when Hitler’s case is compared with the enormity of the accumulated crimes which had already been committed against virtually all of Africa, against China in past times, as against the people of India, first, by the British East India Company’s drug pushers, and through the continuation of Malthusian policies under the British monarchy since Queen Victoria’s coronation as Empress, through to the present day. Hitler, had he lived to be taken captive, would have been executed. For the British empire, it is the victims who are ultimately punished on the altar of imperial tyrannies for their failure to prevent the follies which they
What, then, is the proper intention of my expression, "Liberally Satanic"?

Was it not the character of the Norman inquisitional court which, literally, cooked Jeanne d'Arc alive, then stopping the fire to see if she were cooked, and, seeing that that had been done, then restarted the fire to extinguish all sign of her personal mortal remains. This evil was done, all for a Norman-British political lie of that time, a lie by Fifteenth-century forerunners of today's former Prime Minister Tony Blair. Is there actually a significant difference between those Norman murderers, and either what Prime Minister Tony Blair had been, or what President Obama is continuing proposing to be done, now?

What was the charge of the Norman Inquisition against Jeanne d'Arc, in condemning her to be, literally, cooked to death alive, like some fresh lobster or crab fresh and living from the restaurant's tank? She was, in fact, condemned on the charge that she had committed an alleged offense against God, by her alleged "choosing" to wear men's clothes, when the Norman clergy had taken away all women's clothes from her place of captivity, and left only men's clothes to be assumed for her presentation for retrial and condemnation before the court. But, then, even a Fifteenth-century Norman court would have, quite justly, found that the behavior of President Obama's so-called "health care" polices were also crudely disgusting.

Christopher Marlowe understood Mephistopheles; William Shakespeare had recognized the doom of the Roman Empire of Julius Caesar's contending heirs, and the moral futility of the England, Scotland and Denmark of the cultures of Lear, Macbeth, and Hamlet. True history and Classical drama, are not tales of failed individual personalities, but of systemically failed cultures, such as what has often been the case among some of those leaders of our own U.S.A. since the death of Franklin Roosevelt and entry into the folly of life under Harry S Truman. It is only through insight into the principles—the controlling dynamics—which subsume the doom of the failed culture, rather than gloating over the Romantic sentiments shown by some foolish individual representative of that culture, which affords us insight into the way in which the exceptional figure, the true hero or heroine, brings remedies for the immediate ills
of what had been self-doomed cultures.
Only such heroes could be truly innocent.

A Lesson in Morality

A dishonest man said, "That is what I chose to believe at that time. You must, at least, show respect for my sincerity!" Will he do that even in cases such as his, or her own, willfully negligent homicide? Or, expressed as the mistake of a misled citizen voting for what a President Barack Obama has already shown himself to be, an Obama who has been, avowedly, an intentional perpetrator of the mass murder of the future, innocent victims among our citizens? Was his error on this account innocent ignorance, or, was it not, in fact, purely evil? Must we "respect him," for what? What is your own, personal standard of morality, really?

So, the specter of Richard Nixon's threatened impeachment hovers over President Obama now.

You are each responsible, not merely for the deed you do, or, also, what you fail to do; but, rather, you are accountable for the outcome of what you have chosen to be, or not to be. You are each accountable for the consequence of what you have chosen to contribute to setting into motion, or failing to do a necessary, reasonably foreseeable act which it is within your means to promote. In the final analysis, it is the consequence which is absolutely true. "I had to believe this was true at that time," is, ultimately, no excuse. It is not the plausible intent, but the effect of your actions, and your reflection on the consequences of such actions, for which you will be eternally, morally responsible in the eyes of future history, whatever "excuse" you might concoct as a whimpering effort to distract attention from your crimes.

Simply doing nothing, when something is possible and obligatory, is, "I have a right to my own opinion," the commonplace expression of an essential form of common crime.

Take the not very rare case of persons who turn against a former friend, for essentially no other reason than the fact that their association with that former friend is now considered by them to be a threat to their own security, or merely their sense of comfort. Or, it might be merely what fear of some powerful agency might do to them if they do not degrade themselves morally by turning, opportunistically, crawling before their tormentor, and, thus, against the former friend who has now become a perpetual enemy. It was a turn which would be made because association with that former associate is now seen as a threat from that enemy which they have been induced to fear.

Then, the cock crowed thrice!

Over many decades, I have become a thoroughly experienced expert in the richness of my knowledge of such unfortunate patterns of immorality which had been promoted among the corruptible as the fruits of their senses of the effects of pain and pleasure. There have been many who acted out of negligence of respect for truth, as if to say, "I had to do it," as if "when the clock had struck thrice."

Persons who permit themselves to be corrupted in that way, as by their show of indifference to a necessary truth, acting through anticipated considerations of pleasure or of pain, have no efficient connection to, or, ultimately, to anything which might be decently called "morality."

What, then, is the role of truth in the utterly depraved Jeremy Bentham's notion of "legislation and morals?" Is truth to be defined by merely what some judge, or other official had chosen actually to believe, or, merely to pretend to believe, about a certain thing in a certain place and time? Is there any actual sanctity in some mere uttering of what passes for an official opinion? Is there any actual honor in the disgusting sophistry represented by what appears to be a convenient opinion in which to be observed believing?

How many members of the Senate were willing to vote for legislation which any honest and intelligent citizen over thirty-five years of age would have been able to recognize as a Hitler health-care policy practiced by the Nazi doctors and kindred cases hung at Nuremberg? Don't protest at my use of the image of Hitler's moustache, when the evidence of Obama's Hitler-echoing intentions is so frankly abundant. Don't give me your "I had to do it, because" sophistries; what should you have known to be the direction which the consequences of your inaction would take?

Who do you think, honestly, should "go to Hell?"

You, perhaps, for the tortured vote you cast for a Hitler-like policy in the Senate, a vote cast for the sake of an alleged principle of "going along to get along"?

5. It is necessary to mention, if only in passing, that one way of what might appear to be a clever avoidance of responsibility for correcting some wrong, is to choose a course of action which is clearly futile, and, thus, using that show as an excuse for avoiding a better means.
What, therefore, is true law? It is certainly not the sickly sophistry of which the most disgusting variety is what is presented as pretending to be "my sincere opinion."

**See Your Sin as Your "Sin-erity"**

"You, dare to question MY sincerity!?"

"Yes," frankly, "I DESPISE your alleged sincerity," and it has been not only quite good of me, but, more important, necessary, to see matters so.

Let us, therefore, apply the strictly Classical, scientific standard of truthfulness, that what is disgusting is typified by the attack on Cusa by the man, Francesco Zorzi, who was soon to serve as Venetian sex advisor to England’s King Henry VIII. See Zorzi’s A.D. 1525 _De Harmonia Mundi_, a work by Zorzi which was later attacked, ironically, by Cusa follower Johannes Kepler’s 1619 _The Harmony of the Worlds_. That was a Kepler work in which the uniquely original and only authentic discovery of a general principle of gravitation was first presented. That had been a discovery by Kepler which Albert Einstein was to identify, when he wrote, contrary to others’ propensity for kissing the official butt of the foolish black-magic meddler, Isaac Newton, by simply accepting the clear scientific truth of the discovery of a universe which is simultaneously, as Albert Einstein said of Kepler’s uniquely original discovery of gravitation, finite, and yet unbounded.

Truth, in science and law-making for nations and their essential relations with one another, is located in the means which are adequate for foreseeing a future which could not be competently predicted by merely deductive-statistical methods.

The required method of foresight for such purposes employs an experimental test of qualified assumptions of how a definite present might have been generated from some point in the past, and, then, testing our ability to determine a development in the future according to the standard presumed to have generated the presently experienced state of mind from a known point during the past.

That method of exploring foreknowledge of the future, is what is to be recognized as the method of crucial experimentation. The typical expression of successful methods for addressing this specific type of challenge, lies in a refined notion of a crucial-experimental test of principle.
Indian Oceans’ coasts.

Thus, the planet is presently in the condition in which the Atlantic Ocean defines the inhabited regions of the planet which are presently in continuing physical-economic decline, an accelerated rate of decline, which is now approaching a general state of physical collapse, a collapse typified by the means being employed not only to reach increasingly primitive standards of physical productivity per capita, but a catastrophic rate of increase of collapse of the standard of living, and, soon, of the size of population, and productivity of the inhabitants of the relevant regions.

The trans-Atlantic regions of the world have become a physical, and also a moral catastrophe, while the trans-Pacific region now struggles, using the power of nuclear fission and fusion, to halt the rate of net physical collapse of the net productivity of the world as a whole.

I. Seas, Rivers, Canals, and Railways

_Essentially, to understand me, you must take prominently into account, the fact that, looking back to my own past, I was raised, implicitly, and almost instinctively, to have become a physical economist. Some have suggested, as my mother did repeatedly, that it was a reaction to my father’s left-handedness that had this kind of effect. She was mistaken. It was my deep, if duly respectful, disagreement with both of them, on matters of principle, which was actually responsible._

All knowledge which is truthful is, necessarily, autobiographical. That is to emphasize, that what we actually know, is inseparable from the experience through which we came to know it.

Therefore, to understand the actual meaning of “principles of a science of economy,” join with Albert Einstein, in insisting that matter, space, and time, have never actually existed as respectively “separate factors” in the real universe of our experience; but, rather, that, only the single notion of physical space-time, is a competent standard of reference. It is not mathematical statistics which should define economy; it is the specifically human principles of physical economy, which reveal what is humanly wrong about what is often taught to the credulous as a magically axiomatic sort of classroom mathematics _per se._

So, pity those who claim to be scientists, but who, nonetheless, offer apologies in defense of Isaac Newton. If you do not react instinctively against any apologies for Isaac Newton, you are missing something very important, and fundamental about science. This is especially true of that field of specifically human practice, which I define as my demonstrated, rather unique competence in economics.

To come directly to the related point which is at hand here and now, I restate the point, thus: _pity the fools who believe in Euclid, for the truth about economy lies within the bounds of the way in which we followers of Bernhard Riemann’s famous 1854 argument_